



cutting through complexity™

Report to those charged with governance (ISA 260) 2012/13

Lincolnshire County Council

September 2013

The contacts at KPMG in connection with this report are:

Tony Crawley
Director

Tel: +44 0116 256 6067
tony.crawley@kpmg.co.uk

Page 52

Mike Wood
Manager

Tel: +44 0115 945 4482
mike.wood@kpmg.co.uk

Niall Davidson Petch
Assistant Manager

Tel: +44 0115 945 3564
niall.davidsonpetch@kpmg.co.uk

	Page
Report sections	
■ Introduction	2
■ Headlines	3
■ Financial statements	4
■ VFM conclusion	9
Appendices	
1. Key issues and recommendations	10
2. Declaration of independence and objectivity	11
3. Draft management representation letter	13

This report is addressed to the Authority and has been prepared for the sole use of the Authority. We take no responsibility to any member of staff acting in their individual capacities, or to third parties. The Audit Commission has issued a document entitled *Statement of Responsibilities of Auditors and Audited Bodies*. This summarises where the responsibilities of auditors begin and end and what is expected from the audited body. We draw your attention to this document which is available on the Audit Commission's website at www.auditcommission.gov.uk.

External auditors do not act as a substitute for the audited body's own responsibility for putting in place proper arrangements to ensure that public business is conducted in accordance with the law and proper standards, and that public money is safeguarded and properly accounted for, and used economically, efficiently and effectively.

If you have any concerns or are dissatisfied with any part of KPMG's work, in the first instance you should contact Tony Crawley, the appointed engagement lead to the Authority, who will try to resolve your complaint. If you are dissatisfied with your response please contact Trevor Rees on 0161 246 4000, or by email to trevor.rees@kpmg.co.uk, who is the national contact partner for all of KPMG's work with the Audit Commission. After this, if you are still dissatisfied with how your complaint has been handled you can access the Audit Commission's complaints procedure. Put your complaint in writing to the Complaints Unit Manager, Audit Commission, 3rd Floor, Fry Building, 2 Marsham Street, London, SW1P 4DF or by email to complaints@audit-commission.gsi.gov.uk. Their telephone number is 03034448330.

This report summarises:

- The key issues identified during our audit of Lincolnshire County Council's (the Authority's) financial statements for the year ended 31 March 2013; and
- Our assessment of the Authority's arrangements to secure value for money (VFM) in its use of resources.

Financial statements

Our *External Audit Plan 2012/13* presented to you in April 2013 set out the four stages of our financial statements audit process.



This report focuses on the second and third stages of the process. Our on site work for these took place in two tranches during April 2013 (interim audit) and in July and 2013 (year end audit). We carried out the following work:

Control Evaluation

- Evaluate and test selected controls over key financial systems
- Review internal audit function
- Review accounts production process
- Review progress on critical accounting matters

Substantive Procedures

- Planning and performing substantive audit procedures.
- Concluding on critical accounting matters.
- Identifying audit adjustments.
- Reviewing the Annual Governance Statement.

We are now in the final phase of the audit. Some aspects are also discharged through this report:

Completion

- Declaring our independence and objectivity.
- Obtaining management representations.
- Reporting matters of governance interest.
- Forming our audit opinion.

VFM conclusion

Our *External Audit Plan 2012/13* explained our risk-based approach to VFM work, which follows guidance provided by the Audit Commission. We have completed our work to support our 2012/13 VFM conclusion. This included:

- assessing the potential VFM risks and identifying the residual audit risks for our VFM conclusion;
- considering the results of any relevant work by the Authority, the Audit Commission, other inspectorates and review agencies in relation to these risk areas.

Structure of this report

This report is structured as follows:

- Section 2 summarises the headline messages.
- Section 3 sets out the key findings from our audit work in relation to the 2012/13 financial statements.
- Section 4 outlines the key findings from our work on the VFM conclusion.

Our recommendations are included in Appendix 1.

Acknowledgements

We would like to take this opportunity to thank Officers and Members for their continuing help and co-operation throughout our audit work.

This table summarises the headline messages. The remainder of this report provides further details on each area.

Proposed audit opinion	We anticipate issuing an unqualified audit opinion by 30 September 2013. We will also report that the wording of your Annual Governance Statement accords with our understanding.
Audit adjustments	We are pleased to report that our audit of your financial statements did not identify any material adjustments. The Authority made a small number of non-trivial adjustments, most of which were of a presentational nature. There was no impact on the General Fund.
Accounts production and audit process	The Authority has good processes in place for the production of the accounts and good quality supporting working papers. Officers dealt efficiently with audit queries and the audit process has been completed within the planned timescales. We have worked with Officers throughout the year to discuss the specific risk areas for this year's audit. The Authority addressed the issues appropriately. We have raised a small number of recommendations arising from our work, which are summarised in Appendix 1.
Control environment	The Authority's organisation and IT control environment is effective, and controls over the key financial systems are sound. We are satisfied that internal audit are compliant with the Code of Practice for Internal Audit in Local Government and have been able to place reliance on their work where this was relevant to our work.
Completion	At the date of this report our audit of the financial statements is substantially complete. Before we can issue our opinion we require a signed management representation letter. We confirm that we have complied with requirements on objectivity and independence in relation to this year's audit of the Authority's financial statements.
VFM conclusion	We have concluded that the Authority has made proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources. We therefore anticipate issuing an unqualified VFM conclusion by 30 September 2013.

Section three – financial statements Key risks

We have worked with Officers throughout the year to discuss specific risk areas. The Authority addressed the issues appropriately.

In our External Audit Plan 2012/13, presented to you in April 2013, we identified the key risks affecting the Authority's 2012/13 financial statements.

We have now completed our testing of these areas and set out our evaluation following our substantive work.

The table below sets out our detailed findings for each risk.

Key audit risk	Issue	Findings
	<p>The Authority estimated that it will need to deliver £23 million in savings during 2013/14 to address further reductions to local authority funding and continued cost pressures.</p> <p>It will need to continue to manage its savings plans well to secure longer term financial and operational sustainability.</p> <p>Adult Social Care continues to pose a major challenge and a forecast overspend of £6.5 million was anticipated in 2012/13.</p>	<p>We are satisfied that the authority has adequate controls in place to ensure a sound financial standing in the medium term and that it is planning and managing its savings plans satisfactorily. In 2011/12 the Council established a Financial Volatility Reserve to help smooth the effects of funding changes to Local Government and at 31 March 2013 a further £20.3 million had been transferred into this reserve.</p> <p>The latest consultation on funding for 2015/16 shows that the impact on Lincolnshire County Council is likely to be greater than originally envisaged, however the Council has adequate reserves which will provide the flexibility to develop a sustainable budget from 2015/16 onwards.</p> <p>The programme of change in Adult Social Care is still underway and we are satisfied that adequate progress is being made. The forecast deficit in 2012/13 did not arise, mainly due to the receipt of additional in year funding.</p>
	<p>In 2011/12 the previous auditor reported significant improvements in this area but noted that the asset register had not been fully reconciled to the disclosure note in the accounts. The previous auditor also identified some assets which did not appear to have been valued within the last five years.</p>	<p>Our work on property, plant and equipment tested the controls in place and we are satisfied that in 2012/13 the year end asset reconciliation and valuation programme operated effectively.</p>

We have identified no issues in the course of the audit that are considered to be material.

The wording of your Annual Governance Statement accords with our understanding.

Proposed audit opinion

Subject to all outstanding queries being resolved to our satisfaction, we anticipate issuing an unqualified audit opinion by 30 September 2013.

Audit differences

In accordance with ISA 260 we are required to report uncorrected audit differences to you. We also report any material misstatements which have been corrected and which we believe should be communicated to you to help you meet your governance responsibilities.

We did not identify any material misstatements.

We identified a small number of presentational adjustments required to ensure that the accounts are compliant with the Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting the United Kingdom 2012/13 ('the Code'). We understand that the Authority will be addressing these where significant.

Annual Governance Statement

We have reviewed the draft Annual Governance Statement and confirmed that:

- it complies with Delivering Good Governance in Local Government: A Framework published by CIPFA/SOLACE; and
- it is not misleading or inconsistent with other information we are aware of from our audit of the financial statements.

The Annual Governance Statement was not finalised until mid September 2013 although the governance issues to be included in the statement were presented to the Audit Committee on 22 July 2013.

In accordance with recognised good practice the timescales should be shortened so that the Governance Group signs off the Annual Governance Statement in time for consideration of it alongside the statement of accounts presented for audit on or before 30 June each year.

Section three – financial statements Accounts production and audit process

The Authority has good processes in place for the production of the accounts and good quality supporting working papers. .

Officers dealt efficiently with audit queries and the audit process could be completed within the planned timescales.

There were no recommendations in the previous auditors ISA 260 Report 2011/12.

Accounts production and audit process

ISA 260 requires us to communicate to you our views about the significant qualitative aspects of the Authority's accounting practices and financial reporting. We also assessed the Authority's process for preparing the accounts and its support for an efficient audit.

We considered the following criteria:

Element	Commentary
Accounting practices and financial reporting	The Authority has good financial reporting arrangements in place. We consider that accounting practices are appropriate.
Completeness of draft accounts	We received a complete set of draft accounts on 28 th June 2013
Quality of supporting working papers	Our <i>Accounts Audit Protocol</i> , which we issued on 20 th February 2013 and discussed with your officers, set out our working paper requirements for the audit. The quality of working papers provided met the standards specified in our <i>Accounts Audit Protocol</i> .
Critical accounting matters (key audit risks)	We have discussed with officers throughout the year the areas of specific audit risk and undertaken specific audit procedures. There are no matters to draw to your attention.

Element	Commentary
Response to audit queries	Officers resolved audit queries in a reasonable time.

Prior year recommendations

There were no recommendations raised by the previous auditor in last year's ISA 260 report.

Related Party Transactions

During closedown officers completed a review of all potential related parties identified from the members and senior officers declarations. Transactions with Urban Challenge (£140K) and Relate Lincolnshire (£379K) have not been disclosed because these did not arise from grants made by the Council. This does not comply with the Code guidance as the definition of a related party transaction includes all types of transactions whether it is a grant or not.

The Authority's organisation and IT control environment is effective, and controls over the key financial systems are sound.

We are satisfied that internal audit are compliant with the Code of Practice for Internal Audit in Local Government and have been able to place reliance on their work where this was relevant to our work.

During April 2013 we completed our control evaluation work. We did not issue an interim report as there were no significant issues arising from this work. For completeness we reflect on key findings from this work.

Organisational and IT control environment

Controls operated at an organisational level often have an impact on controls at an operational level and if there were weaknesses this would have implications for our audit. We therefore obtain an understanding of the Authority's overall control environment and determine if appropriate controls have been implemented.

The Authority also relies on information technology (IT) to support both financial reporting and internal control processes. In order to satisfy ourselves that we can rely on the use of IT, we test controls over access to systems and data, system changes, system development and computer operations.

We found that your organisational and IT control environment is effective overall.

Review of Internal Audit

We work with your internal auditors to assess the control framework for certain key financial systems and seek to rely on any relevant work they have completed to minimise unnecessary duplication of work.

Where we intend to rely on internal audit's work in respect of the Authority's key financial systems, auditing standards require us to complete an overall assessment of the internal audit function and to evaluate and test aspects of their work.

We reviewed internal audit's work on some aspects of the key financial systems and re-performed a sample of tests completed by them.

We did not identify any significant issues with internal audit's work and are pleased to report that we were able to place reliance on that work on those aspects of the key financial systems that we chose to rely upon their work.

Based on our assessment of their files, attendance at Audit Committee

and regular meetings during the course of the year, internal audit continue to comply with the Code of Practice for Internal Audit in Local Government.

Since April 2013, the United Kingdom Public Sector Internal Audit Standards (PSIAS) apply across the whole of the public sector, including local government. These standards are intended to promote further improvement in the professionalism, quality, consistency and effectiveness of internal audit across the public sector.

The internal audit team are currently undertaking a self assessment to identify any changes or actions needed to ensure full compliance and the outcome of this is expected to be reported to the Audit Committee in due course.

Controls over key financial systems

Where we have determined that this is the most efficient audit approach to take, we test selected controls that address key risks within the financial systems. The strength of the control framework informs the substantive testing we complete during our final accounts visit.

Based on the work of your internal auditors, and our own work on controls over the year end process, the controls over the financial systems are sound.

We confirm that we have complied with requirements on objectivity and independence in relation to this year's audit of the Authority's financial statements.

Before we can issue our opinion we require a signed management representation letter.

Once we have finalised our opinions and conclusions we will prepare our *Annual Audit Letter* and close our audit.

Declaration of independence and objectivity

As part of the finalisation process we are required to provide you with representations concerning our independence.

In relation to the audit of the financial statements of Lincolnshire County Council for the year ending 31 March 2013, we confirm that there were no relationships between KPMG LLP and Lincolnshire County Council, its directors and senior management and its affiliates that we consider may reasonably be thought to bear on the objectivity and independence of the audit engagement lead and audit staff. We also confirm that we have complied with Ethical Standards and the Audit Commission's requirements in relation to independence and objectivity.

We have provided a detailed declaration in Appendix 2 in accordance with ISA 260.

Management representations

You are required to provide us with representations on specific matters such as your financial standing and whether the transactions within the accounts are legal and unaffected by fraud. We have provided a template to the Executive Director (Resources and Community Safety) which is reproduced in Appendix 3. We require a signed copy of your management representations before we issue our audit opinion.

Other matters

ISA 260 requires us to communicate to you by exception 'audit matters of governance interest that arise from the audit of the financial statements'.

There are no other matters which we wish to draw to your attention in addition to those highlighted in this report relating to the audit of the Authority's 2012/13 financial statements.

Section four – VFM conclusion

VFM conclusion

Our VFM conclusion considers how the Authority secures financial resilience and challenges how it secures economy, efficiency and effectiveness.

We have concluded that the Authority has made proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.

Background

Auditors are required to give their statutory VFM conclusion based on two criteria specified by the Audit Commission. These consider whether the Authority has proper arrangements in place for:

- securing financial resilience: looking at the Authority's financial governance, financial planning and financial control processes; and
- challenging how it secures economy, efficiency and effectiveness: looking at how the Authority is prioritising resources and improving efficiency and productivity.

We follow a risk based approach to target audit effort on the areas of greatest audit risk. We consider the arrangements put in place by the Authority to mitigate these risks and plan our work accordingly. Our approach was set out in more detail in our *External Audit Plan 2012/13*.

Risk assessment

Our initial risk assessment did not identify any key business risks which are also relevant to our VFM conclusion beyond those relating to the achievement of the Council's saving plans referred to earlier in the Key Risks section of this report.

We are satisfied that sufficient work in relation to these risks has been carried out by the Authority to mitigate the audit risks for our VFM conclusion. We concluded that we did not need to carry out any specific additional work ourselves.

Conclusion

We have concluded that the Authority has made proper arrangements

to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.

VFM criterion	Met
Securing financial resilience	✓
Securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness	✓

Appendix 1: Key issues and recommendations

We have given each recommendation a risk rating and agreed what action management will need to take.

We will formally follow up these recommendations next year.

Priority rating for recommendations			Management response / responsible officer / due date
1	2	3	
<p>Priority one: issues that are fundamental and material to your system of internal control. We believe that these issues might mean that you do not meet a system objective or reduce (mitigate) a risk.</p>	<p>Priority two: issues that have an important effect on internal controls but do not need immediate action. You may still meet a system objective in full or in part or reduce (mitigate) a risk adequately but the weakness remains in the system.</p>	<p>Priority three: issues that would, if corrected, improve the internal control in general but are not vital to the overall system. These are generally issues of best practice that we feel would benefit you if you introduced them.</p>	
No.	Risk	Issue and recommendation	Management response / responsible officer / due date
1	2	Comply fully with the Code guidance when disclosing member and senior officers' declarations of potential related party transactions by including all types of transactions and not just those which are grant related.	
2	3	Shorten the timescale so that the Governance Group signs off the Annual Governance Statement in time for inclusion in the draft accounts presented for audit on or before 30 June each year.	

Appendix 2: Declaration of independence and objectivity

The Code of Audit Practice requires us to exercise our professional judgement and act independently of both the Commission and the Authority.

Requirements

Auditors appointed by the Audit Commission must comply with the Code of Audit Practice (the Code) which states that:

“Auditors and their staff should exercise their professional judgement and act independently of both the Commission and the audited body. Auditors, or any firm with which an auditor is associated, should not carry out work for an audited body that does not relate directly to the discharge of auditors’ functions, if it would impair the auditors’ independence or might give rise to a reasonable perception that their independence could be impaired.”

In considering issues of independence and objectivity we consider relevant professional, regulatory and legal requirements and guidance, including the provisions of the Code, the detailed provisions of the Statement of Independence included within the Audit Commission’s Standing guidance for local government auditors (Audit Commission Guidance) and the requirements of APB Ethical Standard 1 *Integrity, Objectivity and Independence* (Ethical Standards).

The Code states that, in carrying out their audit of the financial statements, auditors should comply with auditing standards currently in force, and as may be amended from time to time. Audit Commission Guidance requires appointed auditors to follow the provisions of ISA (UK & I) 260 Communication of *Audit Matters with Those Charged with Governance* that are applicable to the audit of listed companies. This means that the appointed auditor must disclose in writing:

- Details of all relationships between the auditor and the client, its directors and senior management and its affiliates, including all services provided by the audit firm and its network to the client, its directors and senior management and its affiliates, that the auditor considers may reasonably be thought to bear on the auditor’s objectivity and independence.
- The related safeguards that are in place.

- The total amount of fees that the auditor and the auditor’s network firms have charged to the client and its affiliates for the provision of services during the reporting period, analysed into appropriate categories, for example, statutory audit services, further audit services, tax advisory services and other non-audit services. For each category, the amounts of any future services which have been contracted or where a written proposal has been submitted are separately disclosed. We do this in our Annual Audit Letter.

Appointed auditors are also required to confirm in writing that they have complied with Ethical Standards and that, in the auditor’s professional judgement, the auditor is independent and the auditor’s objectivity is not compromised, or otherwise declare that the auditor has concerns that the auditor’s objectivity and independence may be compromised and explaining the actions which necessarily follow from his. These matters should be discussed with the Audit Committee.

Ethical Standards require us to communicate to those charged with governance in writing at least annually all significant facts and matters, including those related to the provision of non-audit services and the safeguards put in place that, in our professional judgement, may reasonably be thought to bear on our independence and the objectivity of the Audit Partner and the audit team.

General procedures to safeguard independence and objectivity

KPMG’s reputation is built, in great part, upon the conduct of our professionals and their ability to deliver objective and independent advice and opinions. That integrity and objectivity underpins the work that KPMG performs and is important to the regulatory environments in which we operate. All partners and staff have an obligation to maintain the relevant level of required independence and to identify and evaluate circumstances and relationships that may impair that independence.

Appendix 2: Declaration of independence and objectivity (continued)

We confirm that we have complied with requirements on objectivity and independence in relation to this year's audit of the Authority's financial statements.

Acting as an auditor places specific obligations on the firm, partners and staff in order to demonstrate the firm's required independence. KPMG's policies and procedures regarding independence matters are detailed in the Ethics and Independence Manual ('the Manual'). The Manual sets out the overriding principles and summarises the policies and regulations which all partners and staff must adhere to in the area of professional conduct and in dealings with clients and others.

KPMG is committed to ensuring that all partners and staff are aware of these principles. To facilitate this, a hard copy of the Manual is provided to everyone annually. The Manual is divided into two parts. Part 1 sets out KPMG's ethics and independence policies which partners and staff must observe both in relation to their personal dealings and in relation to the professional services they provide. Part 2 of the Manual summarises the key risk management policies which partners and staff are required to follow when providing such services.

All partners and staff must understand the personal responsibilities they have towards complying with the policies outlined in the Manual and follow them at all times. To acknowledge understanding of and adherence to the policies set out in the Manual, all partners and staff are required to submit an annual Ethics and Independence Confirmation. Failure to follow these policies can result in disciplinary action.

Auditor declaration

In relation to the audit of the financial statements of Lincolnshire County Council for the financial year ending 31 March 2013, we confirm that there were no relationships between KPMG LLP and Lincolnshire County Council, its directors and senior management and its affiliates that we consider may reasonably be thought to bear on the objectivity and independence of the audit engagement lead and audit staff. We also confirm that we have complied with Ethical Standards and the Audit Commission's requirements in relation to independence and objectivity.

Appendix 3: Draft management representation letter

We ask you to provide us with representations on specific matters such as whether the transactions within the accounts are legal and unaffected by fraud.

The wording for these representations is prescribed by auditing standards.

We require a signed copy of your management representations before we issue our audit opinion.

KPMG LLP
Chartered Accountants
1 Waterloo Way
Leicester
LE1 6LP

23rd September 2013

Dear Sirs

This representation letter is provided in connection with your audit of the financial statements of Lincolnshire County Council (“the Authority”) for the year ended 31 March 2013, for the purpose of expressing an opinion:

i. as to whether these financial statements give a true and fair view of the financial position of the Authority as at 31 March 2013 and of the Authority’s expenditure and income for the year then ended;

ii. whether the Pension Fund financial statements of the Authority give a true and fair view of the financial transactions of the Pension Fund during the year ended 31 March 2013 and the amount and disposition of the Fund’s assets and liabilities as at 31 March 2013, other than liabilities to pay pensions and other benefits after the end of the scheme year; and

iii. whether the financial statements have been prepared properly in accordance with the CIPFALASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2012/13.

These financial statements comprise the Authority Movement in Reserves Statement, the Authority Comprehensive Income and Expenditure Statement, the Authority Balance Sheet, the Authority Cash Flow Statement and the related notes. The Pension Fund financial statements comprise the Fund Account, the Net Assets Statement and the related notes.

The Authority confirms that the representations it makes in this letter are in accordance with the definitions set out in the Appendix to this letter.

The Authority confirms that, to the best of its knowledge and belief, having made such inquiries as it considered necessary for the purpose of appropriately informing itself:

Financial statements

1. The Authority has fulfilled its responsibilities, as set out in regulation 8 of the Accounts and Audit (England) Regulations 2011, for the preparation of financial statements that:

- give a true and fair view of the financial position of the Authority as at 31 March 2013 and of the Authority’s expenditure and income for the year then ended;
- give a true and fair view of the financial transactions of the Pension Fund during the year ended 31 March 2013 and the amount and disposition of the Fund’s assets and liabilities as at 31 March 2013, other than liabilities to pay pensions and other benefits after the end of the scheme year; and
- have been prepared properly in accordance with the CIPFALASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2012/13.

The financial statements have been prepared on a going concern basis.

2. Measurement methods and significant assumptions used by the Authority in making accounting estimates, including those measured at fair value, are reasonable.

3. All events subsequent to the date of the financial statements and for which the CIPFALASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2012/13 requires adjustment or disclosure have been adjusted or disclosed.

4. The effects of uncorrected misstatements are immaterial, both individually and in the aggregate, to the financial statements as a whole.

Appendix 3: Draft management representation letter

We ask you to provide us with representations on specific matters such as whether the transactions within the accounts are legal and unaffected by fraud.

The wording for these representations is prescribed by auditing standards.

We require a signed copy of your management representations before we issue our audit opinion.

Information provided

5. The Authority has provided you with:
- access to all information of which it is aware, that is relevant to the preparation of the financial statements, such as records, documentation and other matters;
 - additional information that you have requested from the Authority for the purpose of the audit; and
 - unrestricted access to persons within the Authority from whom you determined it necessary to obtain audit evidence.
6. All transactions have been recorded in the accounting records and are reflected in the financial statements.

7. The Authority acknowledges its responsibility for such internal control as it determines necessary for the preparation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error. In particular, the Authority acknowledges its responsibility for the design, implementation and maintenance of internal control to prevent and detect fraud and error.

The Authority has disclosed to you the results of its assessment of the risk that the financial statements may be materially misstated as a result of fraud.

8. The Authority has disclosed to you all information in relation to:

- (a) fraud or suspected fraud that it is aware of and that affects the Authority and involves:
- management;
 - employees who have significant roles in internal control; or
 - others where the fraud could have a material effect on the financial statements; and
- (b) allegations of fraud, or suspected fraud, affecting the financial statements communicated by employees, former employees, analysts, regulators or others.

9. The Authority has disclosed to you all known instances of non-compliance or suspected non-compliance with laws and regulations whose effects should be considered when preparing the financial statements.

10. The Authority has disclosed to you and has appropriately accounted for and/or disclosed in the financial statements in accordance with the CIPFA/LASAAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2012/13 all known actual or possible litigation and claims whose effects should be considered when preparing the financial statements.

11. The Authority has disclosed to you the identity of the Authority's related parties and all the related party relationships and transactions of which it is aware and all related party relationships and transactions have been appropriately accounted for and disclosed in accordance with the CIPFA/LASAAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2012/13.

Included in the Appendix to this letter are the definitions of both a related party and a related party transaction as the Authority understands them and as defined in IAS 24, except where interpretations or adaptations to fit the public sector are detailed in the CIPFA/LASAAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2012/13.

12. On the basis of the process established by the Authority and having made appropriate enquiries, the Authority is satisfied that the actuarial assumptions underlying the valuation of pension scheme liabilities are consistent with its knowledge of the business.

The Authority further confirms that:

- (a) all significant retirement benefits, including any arrangements that:
- are statutory, contractual or implicit in the employer's actions;
 - arise in the UK and the Republic of Ireland or overseas;
 - are funded or unfunded; and

Appendix 3: Draft management representation letter

We ask you to provide us with representations on specific matters such as whether the transactions within the accounts are legal and unaffected by fraud.

The wording for these representations is prescribed by auditing standards.

We require a signed copy of your management representations before we issue our audit opinion.

- are approved or unapproved, have been identified and properly accounted for; and
- (b) all settlements and curtailments have been identified and properly accounted for..

This letter was tabled and agreed at the meeting of the Audit Committee on 23rd September 2013

Yours faithfully,

[Signature]

Chair of the Audit Committee

[Signature]

Executive Director (Resources and Community Safety)



cutting through complexity™

© 2013 KPMG LLP, a UK limited liability partnership, is a subsidiary of KPMG Europe LLP and a member firm of the KPMG network of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Cooperative, a Swiss entity. All rights reserved. This document is confidential and its circulation and use are restricted. KPMG and the KPMG logo are registered trademarks of KPMG International Cooperative, a Swiss entity.

The KPMG name, logo and 'cutting through complexity' are registered trademarks or trademarks of KPMG International Cooperative (KPMG International).

This page is intentionally left blank